Science and research on packstock and waterborne pathogens ~ misperceptions, realities, and the way forward ~



Rob Atwill, D.V.M., Ph.D. University of California-Davis





Western Institute for Food Safety & Security



1993 outbreak of *Cryptosporidium parvum* associated with drinking water, Milwaukee



California communities respond with much finger pointing



By DENIS CU13 TRANSPORTED AND

Bucking off a proposed carde han to protect donking water from a hatmful parasite, a Contra Costa waled supplier may allow some grazing on lands around the Los Vagueros Neverset Contra Casta Water District plan-

Enclusion closels where Theorem phases reputs any the mospheric occurse gauge mass, forticing of the resource, and burning young callow test much of the Manner force young callows have the highest risk for sprending cryster-torytogic and in America's so-teer ages and in forces of standy while the tagges and in forces of standy while the tagges and in forces of standy while the stand inforces of standy while the standard children and standard standards.

and correction animals, cryscoperations, and step through many water fibers to make healthy people sick and kill those with took animane systems "Cabus are the major shedders of

crypto. By chiranalong them, we can control the nak? said John Steens. a dostrict watershed planner. "This



JOE PAULO, foreground, and Passel Jackson keep an eye on their cattle from horseback on Walker where Jackson leases land for his herd to grazy

RECOMMENDATIONS

trict would install fencing to keep



San Francisco water district targets cattle

In February, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (PUC) seemed poised to ban cattle from its southern Alameda County watershed: In announcing a possible moratorium on livestock grazing, the commission cited concernsabout contamination of the water supply with Cruptosperidium, a waterborne intestinal parasite ness (cryptosporidiosis) lasts only a few days (most people with healthy immune systems, by those with severely weakened immune syster can develop chronic, life-threatening infections Those at greatest risk include AIDS patients and people taking immunosuppressive drugs, such as some cancer chemotherapies.





Cattle and water. They go together on the . California landscapes where cowy ream dral 20181 leys above deep blue reservoirs? W 1 A nesty bug has intruded on the pastbral. ter cu scene: a parasite in animal droppings that can, sidian people, even kill them when it gets intowater sources:

Now some drinking water suppliers are questioning whether it's safe to mix cattle and, reservoir lands. has n

The bug is cryptosporidium, a trengh, tiny that n parasite that hits most people like the flu, but Tfor b can kill AIDS patients or others with compromised intrutie systems. Cryptosporidium has captured the attention of the water industry in the past three years

because of some unusual featured

OHN GARRETT JACKSON, 15, herds cattle recently from one of his father's fields another on the family bleased ranch property off Vasco Road:

By DENIS CUFF

that within

ple

Parasite Scientists seek watery solution

FROM PAGE 1A

cord. "I think we are just beginning o understand that cryptosporidium going to be a major water concern or the quality of drinking water in alifornia

The Contra Costa Water District his month recommended phasing ut grazing in the huffer lands round its Los Vaqueros Reservoir nder construction south of Brentroad. The reservoir will store drinkng water for 400,000 people.

The neighboring East Bay Muicipal Utility District is looking into ighter grazing restrictions on its land to halt 'mystery spore' outbreak tection Agency and the Centers

for Disease Control. Under a new information col-You might call it the mystery lection rule, the EPA is requiring bug. Scientists did, giving this parlarge witter suppliers next month asite the name cryptosporidium to begin monitoring for crypthat translates as "mystery spore." tosporidium The parasite repeatedly

California has reported no wa stumped scientists, who didn't dister-transmitted outbreaks of the parcover until 1976 that the microasite. Water managers, however, scopic organism could harm peohave reported outbreaks in other states where the suppliers met fed-It took until the early 1980s to eral regulations for water treatment. document the first case of the par-

The worst of America's six asite moving through drinking. known outbreaks was in Milwater to infect a human and cause wankee in 1993, where 400,000

Cryptosporidium (onlp toe so nommals, especially calves, 6 banning cattle ---- or maybe is the Los Vaqueros watershed. of contracting cryptospondosi but the district doesn't want to

Parasite risks



HORSES IN THE BACKCOUNTRY

1990's team on waterborne protozoal pathogens Epidemiology (Atwill), hydrology (Harter), range ecology (Tate), livestock and wildlife biology, extension & outreach, regulatory agencies, affected industries & stakeholders



















Backcountry Horsemen of California





Waterborne zoonotic pathogen

1. Infectious agent is *pathogenic* for humans

- 2. Biological reservoir includes an *animal*
- 3. Modes of transmission include waterborne



Waterborne Zoonotic Pathogens

Organisms shed by animals and capable of producing disease in humans following a waterborne route of exposure

<u>Protozoa--1º importance</u>
Cryptosporidium parvum
Giardia duodenalis
Etc.

<u>Bacteria--1° importance</u>
Campylobacter
Salmonella
E. coli: indicator vs virulent
Etc.

Viruses--2° importance * Rotaviruses * Hepatitis E * Etc.

Helminths--2° importance Fasciola (liver flukes) Etc. Developing beneficial management practices (BMPs): 1° goal is to match pathogen flux with local BMP efficacy



Key processes driving waterborne zoonotic transmission

- A. Vertebrate <u>pathogen loading</u>: *who sheds the pathogen?*
- B. Hydrological <u>transport</u>: *how are pathogens reaching water*?
- C. <u>Inactivation</u> kinetics: *can the pathogen survive long enough?*
- D. Inter-species infectivity: *is the pathogen <u>infectious for humans</u>?*

Early studies on fecal shedding of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in horses and mules

1997, Johnson et al., J Vet Diagn Invest 0/91 horses shedding *Crypto* and *Giardia*

1998, Fio and Atwill, Center for Equine Health, UC Davis
2/108 (2%) shedding *Crypto* among foals and weanlings
0/75 shedding *Crypto* among yearlings
12/1148 (1%) shedding *Crypto* among adults

2000, Atwill et al., Equine Vet Journal, <u>packstock animals</u>
0/305 *Crypto*14/305 (14%) *Giardia*, infection in corrals with high animal density

Dana Meadows Yosemite National Park 2001-2003

Actual measure of fecal deposition from stock







Trail to Sunrise, 2003



Fecal deposition along Sunrise



Glen Aulin Trail every other 1400 ft

We chestaution pack Station

RAH

TUSIUMNS MESICONS

5

embert Bome

PUPP

- Gontain

ani min

Cashale

37.8 19.8

Connectific Astron

200

Carranal a

Peak

Fecal deposition along Glen Aulin



Vogelsang Trail every other 2000 ft

The state

Fact Station

- Martspringer

Eletcher

EAT BRANNIN

Unt-

p short

Asta and

Vogelsang

Pogelsan.

100

Fecal deposition along Vogelsang



Fecal deposition averages from 0.1 to 0.6 lbs, usually less than 0.2 lbs / stock / 1000 ft trail

18

What options are there for encouraging stock to defecate & urinate prior to entering a stream?



Crypto and Giardia infection

<u>2001</u>

 sampled ~80 stock for 5 weeks, 371 fecals

 Crypto-DFA
 0/371 (0%)

 Giardia
 2/371 (0.5%)

<u>2002</u>

 sampled ~100 stock for 11 weeks, 379 fecals

 Crypto-DFA
 1/379 (0.3%)
 220 oocysts / lb feces

 Crypto-IMS
 1/73 (1.4%)
 600 oocysts / lb feces

 Giardia 23/379 (6.1%)
 700,000 cysts / lb feces

<u>2003</u>

sampled ~120 stock for 11 weeks, 537 fecals *Crypto*-DFA 0/537 (0%) *Crypto*-IMS 0/182 (0%) *Giardia* 14/537 (2.6%) 6,000 cysts / lb feces

ALL 3 Years

1,287 fecals

Cryptosporidium parvum 6/1287 (0.5%) 2 oocysts / lb feces

Giardia duodenalis 39/1287 (3%) 240,000 cysts / lb feces

0.2 lbs feces per stock per 1000 ft of trail

0.4 oocysts & 50,000 cysts

Belding's ground squirrels, or picket pins (Spermophilus beldingi) wildlife contributions to backcountry pathogens



Cryptosporidium infection in Belding's ground squirrels

Tuolumne and Dana Meadows, 2003

 Prevalence
 Oocysts / g feces

 Adults
 15% (42/284)
 140,000

 Juveniles
 42% (84/199)
 2,200,000

Overall 26% (126/483) 880,000

Most isolates are a new species of *Cryptosporidium* with no history of human infection, but 5% appear similar to *C. parvum* Environmental loading of *Cryptosporidium* by Belding's ground squirrels, 2003

Mean body weight = 196 g (0.5 lb) Defecate $\sim 2\%$ body weight per day 1 squirrel produces 100,000's oocysts / day **Density ranged from 5 to 20 squirrels / acre** 1 to 10 million oocysts / acre / day in **Tuolumne and Dana meadows**

Comparative loading of Cryptosporidium oocysts

Adult horse (1000 lb) : Mean daily fecal production ~ 40 lb 2 oocysts / lb × 40 lbs feces = 80 oocysts / horse / day

Belding's ground squirrel (0.5 lb): Mean daily fecal production ~ 0.01 lb 25 million oocysts / lb × 0.01 lb feces = 250,000 oocysts / squirrel / day

1 squirrel equals ~ 3000 horses!

What is the density of <u>stock</u>? What is the density of <u>ground squirrels</u>? 100:1 squirrels to stock in Tuolumne and Dana Meadows, or more?



Marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*) and *Cryptosporidium* parasites in the high Sierras, 2012





Yosemite NP
 Little Lakes Valley
 Courtright Reservoir
 Chocolate Lakes
 Clover Creek
 Gilbert Lake
 Mineral King
 Cottonwood Lakes

33/224 (15%) fecals test positive mean of 1500 to 5000 oocysts / g only 2 isolates DNA confirmed – *C. parvum* Developing beneficial management practices (BMPs): 1° goal is to match pathogen flux with local BMP efficacy



Key processes driving waterborne zoonotic transmission

- A. Vertebrate <u>pathogen loading</u>: *who sheds the pathogen?*
- B. Hydrological <u>transport</u>: *how are pathogens reaching water*?
- C. <u>Inactivation</u> kinetics: *can the pathogen survive long enough?*
- D. Inter-species infectivity: *is the pathogen <u>infectious for humans</u>?*

CA statewide survey of 20 cow-calf herds, 2012-2013 Butte, Contra Costa, Humboldt, Kern, Lassen, Madera, Modoc, Mono, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Solano, Stanislaus, Tulare and Yuba County (14 counties), <u>1412 cows and calves</u>

Prevalence (%) of fecal shedding (positive/total)

	Salmonella	<i>E. coli</i> O157	Cryptosporidium sp.	Giardia duodenalis
Cow	0.4% (3/726)	5% (37/726)	9% (67/726)	23% (168/726)
Calf	0.15% (1/686)	5% (35/686)	20% (136/686)	42% (286/686)
TOTAL	0.3% (4/1412)	5.1% (72/1412)	14.4% (203/1412)	32% (454/1412)



Cryptosporidium from CA beef cattle in this study appear to have <u>low to no infectivity</u> for humans

	C. andersoni	C. bovis	C. ryanae	C. parvum
Cow	0	1	18	0
Calf	1	18	43	0
Total	1 (1.2%)	19 (23.5%)	61 (75.3%)	0 (0%)

Giardia duodenalis from CA beef cattle in this study appear to have low to no infectivity for humans

	Assemblage E	Assemblage C	Unknown
Cow	56	8	2
Calf	128	7	4
Total	184 (90%)	15 (7%)	6 (3%)

Does rangeland, meadow or annual grassland remove pathogens in runoff?

overland flow



subsurface flow

Sierra Foothill Research & Extension Center, University of California

Buffer width (m) 0.1, 1.1, 2.1

<u>Land slope (%)</u> 5, 20, 35

<u>RDM (kg/ha)</u> 225, 560, 900, 4500



Take advantage of pathogen retention of rangeland and meadows. Vegetated buffers can retain >95% of key pathogens in winter and spring; >99.9% achievable with <u>sufficient infiltration;</u> heavy rain leads to buffer failure (T-storms in summer?)

A microbe's journey between two locations is subject to numerous attenuating and inactivating processes



Instream filtration of pathogens: interaction with sediment and biofilms

2012 technical reports on waterborne pathogens and BMPs both are FREE for BCH members

NRCS-USDA



Nutrient Management Technical Note No. 9

September 2012

Introduction to Waterborne Pathogens in Agricultural Watersheds



nimal Waste, Water Quality and Human Health



Edited by Al Dufour, Jamie Bartram, **Robert Bos and Victor Gannon**



orid Health









HORSES IN THE BACKCOUNTRY

- Setback distance & buffers at camps and corrals
- Dispersion of feces & solar inactivation
- Behavior of stock defecation & urination
- Corral manure mgt. and water runoff
- Water quality at stock and non-stock camps



Thank you, any questions?



Mann Lake, Oregon